5 7 8 9 8

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1898-99

~~191~~ .

(Local Boards

File No. 8

Serial Nos. 1, 3, 4, 16, 20, 23–26, 29, 31, ~~37–40~~

Later references.

L. B. 8 9 8. Ss 37-40

L B 1-31 Ss 17, 24 & 27 & 36 ;

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 3, 4, 16, 20, 23–26, 29, 31, ~~37–40~~

~~Part~~ B for ~~April~~ July 1905.

SUBJECT.

Levy of Tolls on Certain Bridges

(Hole Narsipur Bridges)

Indexed

~~Init~~

W D 88—GPB—~~6,000~~—24.7.16

Countersigned

[Copies from the note of Docket of Sl. 17]

Special Branch

Please fetch the file to ascertain the establishment sanctioned for the Collection of tolls on the Belur Bridge

S. M. J. 13/12

RS.
14/12/98

To L. Boards Br.
References put up

BA
18-1-99

9/1

Refees received today and draft submitted. As the late fees viz. 1 Jan has expired, the Dy: Comr has been requested to notify the same

S. M. J.
19/1/99

Why not on the Jogdatoose bridge also? Has it not yet been completed?

(a) I think it was undertaken before the H. Narasipur Bridge

(b) Have Can the C.F. Board Cl the toll collections?

(c) Please put up the file containing the ~~Correspondence~~ Correspondences

(3½)

Letters Nos 428 and 686 dated 7th Dec/98 and 31st January/99 from the Presidents of the L.-I. Boards urging and urging proposing the levy of tolls on the newly constructed bridges at Kola Narayanpur and Yedatore

---

Neither of these bridges can constructed from Govt funds proper - Both are on Roads maintained from District Funds - The levy of tolls at both these bridges seems desirable. The whole of the toll revenue may be credited to District Funds (Please see ll Nos 1 & 2).

The Rajpur Bridge proposes for Rs 1 per the same rates as those sanctioned for Belur - For Yedatore Rs similar rates may be sanctioned -

Sd Illegible

30.3.99

Sd 28/3/99

2 Drafts sent 31.3.99

DNA 31/1/79

19

MYSORE GOVERNMENT OFFICE.

Local Boards BRANCH.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No 57 of 1898

SUBJECT.

Levy of Tolls on the Hole-Narasipur and Yedatore Bridges

The levy of tolls on these two bridges was Sanctioned by Govt in their Progs Nos 11556 / L.Z.57.98 dy 14.4.1899 and

Sls 4 and 5.

11702-3 / L.Z.57.98 dy 17.4.1899 respectively,

the rates being those Sanctioned for Belur in Govt Pro. No 8433.1 / Mis 1679 of 21-1-98

Sl 1.

2 In June 1899, the Dy Comr of the Mysore Dist requested Sanction (1) to the levy of tolls on the Yedatore Bridge on un laden carts at half the rates Sanctioned for laden carts

Sl 11.

carts and (2) to treat
manure carts passing over
the bridge as unladen ones
and to toll on accordingly.
This was sanctioned in Progs
No 944. 6 Dy 18th July 15, with SC 15
the observation that these
reduced rates should also
be adopted for the Hole.
Narasipur Toll-gate. The
Dy Comrs of Mysore and
Hassan were accordingly
requested to submit a
notification embodying the revised
schedule of rates to be
levied on these bridges
for formal sanction of
Govt and publication
in the Gazette
3. Again in July last the
Presd. D. R. Bd, Mysore dist SC 14.
brought to notice that a
fee of 3 ps was levied on

each head of unladen
bullock or buffalo and
that no toll was levied on
cows calves and heifers
as it was presumed that
they did not come under
the head "bullocks" bullocks
and requested that unladen
bullocks and buffaloes
may be exempted from
payment of tolls as the
ryots and the landowners
of Zedatore have to take
their cattle beyond the
Hemavati River for
grazing or ploughing
purposes. This proposal
was also Sanctioned in
Progs no 1588 dt 1st Augt '99
sl 16 d. 3 57-98
and the President requests
to duly note the items in
the Notice called for in
the Progs of the 18th July 99

(4)

4. The notices alluded to in para 2 above have been received from the Dy Comrs.

Letter No 120 Dy 10-8-99 from Hassan
No 148 Dy 11-8-99 from Mysore

5. But the Dy Comr, Hassan Dist- Solicits orders on the following points.

I The chief Comrs Notification No 274 Dy 4th Nov 1876 exempts from payment of tolls Military troops, their Stores and Equipage, Police officers on duty or of any person or property in their custody or of any property bona fide belonging to Govt, but does not allow exemption in favour of Govt Servants travelling on duty. But in the Notification for the Agumbe Ghaut Toll-Gate, a clause to this effect has been added. orders are therefore requested as

Extract put up.

to whether any provision is necessary for the exemption or (2) whether in all cases, irrespective of any such provision, all Govt Servants on duty are exempted from paying, and, if so, (3) whether a carriage or cart conveying an officer who passes the toll-gate while going on duty necessitated by transfer would also be similarly exempted as also the carts conveying his personal property and goods

II Ryots on either side of the Hemavati river near ~~Gandoor~~ Hole-Narasipur often pass over the bridge daily with their carts or bullocks for taking manure to their fields or bringing corn, grass, etc. Are they to pay tolls?

In Pro. No. 944-6 dt 18-7-99
dt 2-5-98
the proposals to levy tolls on unladen carts at half the rates sanctioned for laden carts and to treat ~~one~~ mere carts passing over the bridge as unladen carts were sanctioned.

III

III Whether the term "bullock" or "buffalo" includes its young ones as well as cows and calves though they may not apply to cattle generally which includes sheep and goats also.

In the Revised Rate for Ijedatone Bridge no rate is shown under "Existing rates" for unladen Bullocks but this ought to be 3/per.

Submitted

VL.

22.8.99

Read:- 20

Letter No 120 D the 10th Jany '99 from the Dy Comr Hussain Dt forwarding for transmission to the Compiler Mysore Gazette a Notification revising the rates of fees on carriages etc to be levied on the Hole Narasipur bridge Toll-gate and soliciting instructions on certain points.

Order thereon No ____ L.J.57-98

With regard to the points raised by the Dy Comr in the letter read above the Govt are pleased to lay down the following instructions:- ~~It is observed that~~ (1) ~~all~~ Govt Servants travelling on duty and their Conveyances being as a rule exempted from the ~~payment~~ insertion of a levy of tolls, the Special provision to this effect in ~~necessary~~ the notice does not seem called for.

(2). Mamuli carts should be treated as unladen carts and charged accordingly at half the rates chargeable on

* similar persons his work been added in the case of other workers. Does this mean that Govt servants have to pay tolls when travelling on duty? Do you know the Govt orders on the subject? Are Govt servants everywhere exempt as a rule from the levy?

30.8.99

⊕ If I am right, please make a fair copy of the draft

⊕ I do not know the practice everywhere

H. Mond Please refer to Imam body

The Survey Books do not show the Cattle on the Govt Bund 31/8

⊗ Rought draft will be fair copied when presented back in office with accompanying orders.

Dy 28/8/99

redraft-sd Dy 31/8

Submitted. The insertion of this para (in the notice, I refer) would clear the doubts which may arise in the event of tolls being leased out to a Contractor, who may escort tolls and when prevented from doing so, may claim remission of a portion of the amount of his bid

Dy 28/8/99

Cart laden.

(3) Cart laden bullocks and buffaloes as well as cows, Calves and heifers passing on the Hole Bridge in the Thanesar Dist. will enjoy exemption as in the case of tolls, as in the above section may be adopted in case of those passing on the aforesaid Hole Bridge in the Kaithal Bridge.

4. The Notification received will be. with the above to revised in accordance with the above para and forwarded to the Compiler Haryana Gazette for publication in three successive issues of the Gazette. The Compiler will also be requested to send 50 spare copies of the Notification to the Asstt. Direct.

Secretary

To The Asstt. G.T. Dist.

3

Queries queries on L.S.B.C.

(1) Where is the Notification correspondence regarding the Marnahally Bridge

Office note

(1) A ~~copy~~ copy of the Note extracted from the Gazette as also G.I. 38-97 (Rev 77 & 92) obtained from Rl Branch are submitted

(2) Did we not recently alter the ~~terms~~ terms of the Note re. Yedatore Bridge on a representation made by the Dy Comr of Mysore?

How do you account for the absence of a note in it similar to that inserted under the Hole Narsipur Notification Perhaps the only exemption then ordered was re unladen carts and bulls.

Initd/ C.S.

(2) In Pro ~~No~~ 944-6 dated 10/7/99 (Sl 15) sanction was accorded to the levy of Tolls on the Yedatore Bridge on unladen carts at half the rates sanctioned for laden carts and (2) to treat manure carts passing over the bridge as unladen carts.

Exemption from payment of Tolls were also sanctioned in favour of unladen bullocks, buffaloes ~~thereto~~ ~~for~~ subjected to a toll of Pies per head. (Vide Pro No 1588/57-98 Dt 1-8-99 (Sl 17). A Notification embodying these rates was published in Sl No 25.

Exemption in favour of Govt servants was passed only in respect of the Toll at the Hole Narsipur Bridge and not of the one at the Yedatore Bridge, as the question was raised by the Dy Comr of Hassan ~~for exemption~~ in connection with the former only

Submitted.

12/11/99

Dy 7/11

Dated 16.11.99

We enclose a
question which we
received from Ceylon
and which calls for
your immediate attention.
We can only have
incorrect effect.

We enclose both
our letters to you
which we sent
- together on 1898-99.
No enclosure was
enclosed with the
earlier. It does
not matter for
further letters
when enclosed
it will find from the
first Treasury.

R.J. Rm.
15.11.99

C
14-11-99

Yes. confirmed
confirmed
was told
complications
16.11.99

Dated
acc's
dispatch
17-11-99

Subject L. B.
No. 8 of 98
Serial No. 1

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
4915
9 DEC. 98
1898

From The Deputy Commissioner Hassan District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Rev. Dept.

Dated 6 December 1898

Despatched 7

Received

General No. 428
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Subject

Requesting to obtain sanction of Government to levy Toll on the Holenarsipur Bridge with effect from 1st January 1899

Genl Branch
Please put up the file ascertain the establishment sanctioned for the collection tolls on the Belur Bridge.
RD 13/1
14/12/98

To L. Board's letter
Reference to the file
13/1
18-1-99

Refer returned to dy and draft submitted. As the date fixed 1st Jany has expired, the DC Cow has been requested to notify the same. Dy 19/1/99

When not on the Yedatore bridge also? Has it not yet been com. Noted? 2 Whether it was under latten before Hn. Narsipur Bridge. By 23/1
How can the Dy C Board claim the toll collections?
Please put up the file containing the correspondence

(b)
(c)

Gl. Branch.
Please put the draft quoted within
To the date 11-5-6-7
L. 75798 9/4/99
A Sharcoly
L7
9/12/98 referred to Collr. &c.
PD 10/22/98 BBL 12/12/98

No. 428

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.
Dated Hassan, 6th December 1878.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rev. Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Holé Narsipúr Bridge on the Hemavati was completed and opened for traffic in October last. As the Road being on the Bridge is maintained from the District Fund; the District Fund Board during its meeting held on the 8th November 1878 resolved that Toll should be levied on the Bridge and application should be made to Government for sanctioning the same.

2 - According to the Government Proceedings

Share copy sent up

Proceedings no. 8433-37 Dated 21st January 1898,
Mus: 1679

the levy of toll on the Hodalore Bridge was postponed until the Hole Narsipur Bridge was completed. From this, it may be inferred that Government intend levying tolls on the new Bridge at one and the same time, but the present reference concerns only the Bridge at Hole Narsipur and is situated within the limits of this District.

3 —— I have therefore the honor to request that you will be so good as to obtain Government sanction for the levy of Toll on the Hole Narsipur Bridge with effect from the 1st of January 1899 at the rates fixed for Belur Bridge and also for the entertainment of the necessary staff to work it as sanctioned for Belur. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

J M Campbell

B.S. for Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Mis 2468

OFFICE OF THE
6846
11 FEB. 1899
DIWAN OF MYSORE.

99. 3

L 1630

4
11.2.99

3

The President,
District Fund Board
Hassan.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Dated Genl & Rev: Departts:
9
Despatched } February 1899
Received

General No. 575
No.

Enclosure No. none

Section L.B.
File No 8 of 98
Serial No 3

This office No. 428 Dated 6th December 1898 requesting sanction to the levy of toll at the Holè Narsipùr bridge as it has been completed and opened for traffic.

Has the honor to request the favor of early sanction thereto.—

D. Venkataramanaiya Row
for President
District Fund Board
Hassan

Mis 2468 3 | 3 To the Same H5 5:6 27

4

Section: L.B.
File No 8 of 98
Serial No 4

Read:-

Letter c/s 428 dated 6th Dec 1898 from the Dy Comr Hassan District requesting sanction to the introduction of tolls on the Hole Narsipur Bridge at rates fixed for the Belur Bridge and to the entertainment of the necessary staff to work it as sanctioned for Belur.

(2) Docket c/s 5795/2/99 from the Same Offr

Order thereon No 11556-57/R dated 9/6/99

L 1537 57-98

The proposed levy of tolls on carriages, carts and animals passing on the Hole Narsipur Bridge is sanctioned at rates noted in the margin the collections therefrom being credited to Provincial the Dy Comr of Hassan from which the road on the bridge is being maintained. The Dy Comr is requested to publish a notification in the Gazette specifying the place where and the date from which the toll will be levied.

No	Schedule Rates	Laden Rs	as	ps	Unladen Rs	as	ps
1	On every four-wheeled carriage	-	8	-	-	8	-
2	Do two-wheeled Carriage or cart	-	4	-	-	4	-
3	Do buffaloes or bullocks per head, if laden	-	-	6	-	-	-
4	Do. ditto, if not laden	-	-	-	-	-	3
5	Do elephant	1	-	-	1	-	-
6	Do Camel, if laden	-	8	-	-	-	-
7	Do ditto, if not laden	-	-	-	-	8	-
8	Do horse, if laden or ridden	-	1	-	-	-	-
9	Ditto if unladen or [illegible]	-	-	-	1	-	-
10	Do. ass, if laden or ridden	-	-	6	-	-	-

Note.—No toll will be levied from foot-passengers.

(2) The entertainment of an establishment costing Rs 25 per mensem as per

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Local Funds, dated 14th April 1899.

READ—

Letter No. 428, dated 6th December 1893, from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan district, requesting sanction to the introduction of tolls on the Hole-Narsipur bridge at rates fixed for the Belur bridge, and to the entertainment of the necessary staff to work it, as sanctioned for Belur.

(2) Docket No. 575, dated 9th February 1899, from the same officer.

No. 11556-57—L. F. 57-98, DATED BANGALORE, 14TH APRIL 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—The proposed levy of tolls at rates noted in the margin on carriages, carts and animals passing on the Hole-Narsipur bridge, is sanctioned, the collections therefrom being credited to the District Fund of Hassan, from which the road on the bridge is being maintained. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to publish a notification in the *Mysore Gazette* specifying the place where and the date from which the toll will be levied.

No.	Schedule Rates.	Laden.	Unladen.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	On every four-wheeled carriage	0 8 0	0 8 0
2	Do two-wheeled carriage or carts.	0 4 0	0 4 0
3	Do buffalo or bullock if laden.	0 0 6	.....
4	Do do if not laden ...	.....	0 0 3
5	Do elephant ...	1 0 0	1 0 0
6	Do camel, if laden ...	0 8 0	.....
7	Do do if not laden ...	.....	0 8 0
8	Do horse, if laden or ridden.	0 1 0	.....
9	Do do if unladen or led...	.....	0 1 0
10	Do ass, if laden or ridden ...	0 0 6	.....

Note.—No toll will be levied from foot passengers.

(2) The entertainment of an establishment costing Rs. 25 per mensem, as per particulars given below, is sanctioned for the management of the toll collections departmentally, for a period of two years:—

		Rs.	
1	Mutsaddi on	.. 12	per mensem.
2	Watchers on Rs. 6 each	.. 12	do
	Contingencies	.. 1	do
	Total	25	do

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The President, District Fund Board, Hassan.
The Comptroller.

Exd.—C.V.

Draft to Compt. & Dy. Commr. Mysore
HKK.
28/8/99

21

L 231

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1392
12-8-99
1899

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departts.

Dated 10th August 1899
Despatched
Received
General No. 120
No. L 84

Enclosure No. Nil

In reply to no. 944-6 dt. 18.7.99.
L.D. 57-98

Submitting for sanction and publication in the Mysore Gazette a Revised notice of rates to be levied at Hole Narsipur Bridge and requesting orders of Government on certain points &c.,

Section L B
File No 80 98
Serial No 16

To the Gazette
L D 35 11-2 57-98 dt 9.8.99 HKK 7-9-99
12.8.99 S. K. Dr. J 12/8
Office note sent up HKK 17.8.99 Dr. J 12/8

No. 120
50.

Office of the Deputy Commis-
sioner, Hassan District &
[illegible] Camp, [illegible]
9th August 1899
[illegible]

From, C. Ramaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore, General
and Revenue Departments.
Bangalore —

Sir
I have the honor to submit herewith
a Statement [illegible] showing
Schedule of rates to be levied
at the [illegible] bridge [illegible]
[illegible] notified
in the Gazette [illegible]
in Government [illegible]
No. [illegible] dated [illegible] July 18[illegible]
[illegible]

I have the honor [illegible]
that you will be good [illegible]

to obtain orders of Government
on the following points in regard
to which the Chief Commissioner
is notification that Government
the Subject a" not seem to
make any provision:—

(1) Chief Commissioner's
Notification No: 274 dated
4th November 1876 lays down
"No toll shall be levied for
the passage of troops or military
stores or equipments or of
Police officers on duty or of
any person or property in
their custody or of any
property bonafide belonging
to Government × × × × × × ×
belongs".

This however does not state
that the Government Servants
travelling on duty are exempt
& although this clause
has

has been added in the Notifi-

cation published in the Ceylon
Gazette Page 202 under date
of 25th February 1897 from the
Assistant Toll-gate,
Ahungalla to Induruwa
District.
Doubts have therefore been
entertained as to whether a
special provision to the above
effect is necessary for the —
exemption or whether in all
cases, irrespective of such
provision, Government
or duty are exempted, so as
subsequently [illegible]
[illegible] labouring
[illegible]
villages in collection of the
work, not to mention other cases
of almost daily occurrence
12. Grant of [illegible]
[illegible]

conveying an officer on
the toll-house while going on
duty necessitated by his
transfer is exempted from
(2) tax, whether the cart &c con-
veying his personal property
and goods would be simi-
larly exempted.

(3) Ryots inhabiting
villages situated on both
sides of the Hémavati River
at Hole-Narsipur very often
carry in their carts or bullocks
manure for their fields, or gras
(3) or corn &c. Agriculturists
daily take their bullocks and
other cattle to the other side of
the bridge and bring them
back for purposes of graz-
ing or agriculture, whether
in such cases, the carts
and-

and cattle that frequently
passed the pass are liable
for taxation, though owned by.

(4) Whether the term 'buffalo
or 'bullock' includes cows
young ones as well as cows
and calves, though it may
not strictly be 'cattle' generally
which includes also sheep
and goats

Section L.B
File No 8 of 98
Serial No 20

Issued B 2/9/99
2-9-99

Vb. 30 Rs.
2.9.99.

I put as corrected
6-9-99

6/9/99

Let the fee, before issue, the Notification revised as mentioned in para 2 of this order. DL

A.S. Char
Dy 11/9

The Notification has been revised as per Order & approved by the Hon'ble Minister
11/9

Read:—

Letter No. 120 dated the 6th August 1899 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District forwarding for transmission to the Compiler, Mysore Gazette, a Notification revising the rates of fees on carriages etc leviable on the Holenarsipur bridge Toll gate and soliciting instructions on certain points.

Order thereon No 35.11-2
L.F. 57.98.
Dated, Bangalore 13th September

With regard to the points raised by the Deputy Commissioner in the letter read above, the Government are pleased to observe as follows:—

(1.) All Government servants travelling on duty

such (and their conveyances carrying them & their loads
being also exempted
from the levy of tolls, the insertion of a special
provision to this effect
is not required in the notice does not
need be called for.

(2.) Manure carts should
be treated as unladen
carts and charged accord-
ingly at half the
rates chargeable on
carts laden.

(3.) Unladen bullocks
and buffaloes as well
as cows, calves and hei-
fers passing on the
Hole Narsipur Bridge
in the Hassan District
will enjoy exemption
from tolls, as in the
case of those
passing on the Hyderabad
Bridge in the Mysore
District

NB
It was the
practice to
be clear rather
than that the
exemption should be
thus comprehensively worded
as here & Hewis the terms
with Brave rules
strictly practical Revenue
Magistrates

to be on the
said rail
bel
exempt
of

it is represented that landowners
in Hole Narsipur have to take
their cattle daily over the Bridge for
either ploughing or grazing purposes.

(Xd) 2.

(4) The Notification submitted by the Commissioner ~~received with the above~~ will be revised in accordance with the above remarks ~~para~~ and forwarded to the Compiler Mysore Gazette for publication in three successive issues of the Gazette.

make correction & complete

6/9/99

6/9/99
Secretary
Dmg 31/8/99

To.
The President Chamarajanagar District Board &
Hassan District.
Compiler, Mysore Gazette
with copy of the Notn for publication in part II of the Gazette

Recd
4.9.99
No 3514

23

Section [illegible] for order with reference No. 8798
Dy 27/10/99
Section No. 23

The points on which orders are requested are (1) whether the exemption of Govt: servants travelling on duty from the levy of tolls, specified in the footnote to the Schedule of rates (sl 2 11(a)) sanctioned for the Hole Narsipur Bridge is intended to be of general application + (2) if so, whether this can be considered to have effect retrospectively in which case so as to affect a case in connection with the Marnahalli Toll gate.

The first question must, I think, be answered affirmatively, having regard to Govt: no- 3511-12 dy 13.9.99 (sl 2/6) and A similar exemption has been allowed in the case of the Agumbi Ghat Toll gate - (Extract from Govt: Orders on last page of Office memo sl no- 19).

The second point, however, cannot be answered with the same certainty

Sub- Secretary
28/10/99

Memo and Dy 27/10/99

Where is the Govt: servants Resolution regarding the Marnahalli Bridge

21 Did not remember the terms of the Notification re Yedatore Bridge

Should not a like Resolution be made by the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur

For the absence of a rule in it similar to that enacted in the Hole Narsipur Notification

31

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER 3707 1899

L. 586.

From The Deputy Commissioner Hassan District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Rev Departts

Dated 19 October 1899

Despatched

Received

General No. 257

No. 5

Enclosure No.

Subject

Stating that a note at the foot of the Schedule for the Yedatore Bridge as well as for Marnahalli Toll Gate has not been made exempting the Govt: Servants travelling on duty as is made for the Hole Narsipur Bridge and requesting to that effect to the notification that an addendum may be kindly caused to be inserted in the Gazette

To Dame 6068-9
21.10.99 L.757-98 825/11

No. 257/5

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.
Dated. Hassan, 19th October 1899.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rev: Departts:

Sir,

Adverting to the Notification published in the Mysore Gazette dated the 21st September 1899, with regard to the levy of tolls, it is seen at the foot of the Schedule for the Holé Narsipúr bridge, that a note is made to the effect that the Government servants travelling on duty will be exempted while no such condition exists

respect

respect of the bridge, at Yedatore of the Mysore District.

M 2/6 1757-98.

2 ——— In view of the above want of uniformity with respect to the two bridges separated only by a few miles distance it seems doubtful whether the above can be taken as a general principle applying to all the toll bars.

Not may be entitled to Yedatore Bridge

3 ——— Precisely similar question is awaiting disposal in connection with the Mannahalli Toll Gate. Mr. T. Paramasiva Iyer when in charge of the Mangarahalli Sub Division in September last appears to have passed through the gate on Government duty and

7

and, however, he was requested to pay up the toll he has done so with an objection that he as Government servant on duty should be exempted as a clause to that effect is provided for the Mymbé Ghat of the Shimoga District. —

4 — It may be stated here that the same clause is not inserted for the Marmahalli Ghat and it was only upon this, a reference was made to Government on this subject among others, in this Office letter No: 120 Dated 10th August 1899. —

5 —— Having regard to the

Annexure 12

absence of uniformity in the matter as is seen from the Notification for the Yadatore Bridge, I beg instructions as to whether the above principle can be applied generally to all the Toll Gates and if so retrospectively entitling Mr. Paramasiva Iyer to the refund of Rs 1-4-0 paid by him on the 9th March 1897 under protest.—

6 — If the order is in the affirmative, I beg that an addendum to that effect to the Notification Dated 21st April 1898 (Mysore Gazette page 471 Part II) may be kindly caused to be inserted in

21st is the date of the Gazette

I believe it was the order

in the Gazette.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Richard Bye,
Deputy Commissioner
Halifax District

24

OFFICE OF THE
4654
20. XI. 99.
RECEIVED

1899

L 2440
746

From

The President
Local Fund Board
Hassan.

Section L.B.
File No 8798
Serial No 24

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl and Rev Depts

Dated 16.
Despatched } November 1899
Received

General No. 296
No. S.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the contingent amount of one Rupee per month sanctioned to the Toll gate at Bale Narsipur is reported the insufficient and requesting that sanction may be accorded to an expenditure of Rs 1-8-0 per month.

To the same

L 2 | 6260-1 | 57-98 | 30/11/99

20-11-99

G. V. N.

Dmg 21/11/99

No. 296

Office of the President & the
Local Fund Board Hafsan Dt.
to
Dated. Hafsan, 16th November 1899.

From,

The President
Local Fund Boards,
Hafsan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General and Rev: Depatts.

Sir,

With reference to Govern-
-ment Proceedings No. 11556-7 / F.F. 57-98 Dated
14th April 1899, sanctioning the Col-
-lection of tolls at the Holé Narsi-
-pur Bridge departmentally, for a
period of two years with an esta-
-blishment costing Rs. 25 per men-
-sem as per particulars in the
margin, I have the honor to state
that the Amildar reports that the
sum of Re 1 provided for monthly
Contingencies is inadequate for

* 1 Mutandis Rs 12 per annum.
2 Watchers on Rs 6 each = 12 Rs.
Contingencies Re 1 per mensem.

the purpose and to request that you will be so good as to accord sanction to an expenditure of Rupees 1—8— per month the excess charge for the remaining months of the year being met from the sum of Rs 150 provided under — C Civil charges X Miscellaneous 10 other items" in the Current Year's District Fund Budget of the Hafsan Circle.—

2 —— Adequate provision will be made for this in the Budget of the ensuing Year.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

President
Local Fund Board
Hafsan District

EK

25 / 34

Read -

Letter no. 257 dated 19 Oct 1899 from the Dy Comr Hassan Distt soliciting instructions as to whether the exemption of Govt servants from liability to tolls when travelling on duty is expressly provided at the foot of the Notification for the levy of tolls at the Hole-Narsipur Bridge ~~with regard to the liability of Govt servants travelling on duty to the payment of tolls~~, is to be taken to extend generally to all toll bars and whether such exemption if general may be taken to have retrospective effect ~~so as to~~ so as to entitle Mr Parameswara Iyer ~~Govt servants who have~~ to the refund of Rs 1-4-0 paid by ~~them and paid tolls to have~~ him on the 9th March 1899 under ~~their amount refunded to them~~ protest at the Mavinahally Toll bar

Order thereon

Section L.B
No 8 of 98
Serial No 25

Sl no 31

Sl 28 d 24(a)

RL 759
6068-69 Dy 25/11/99
257-98

The Govt are pleased to
extend the ~~The~~ exemption in question
~~is hereby extended~~ to the Gedetone
Bridge and the Maramballi Ghats.
~~with the observation that~~ But No refund
~~such exemption can only~~ = can be
~~have prospective effect.~~ granted
~~(2) In the case of Govt~~ of T)
~~servants travelling on duty~~
~~who have already paid~~ tolls already paid,
~~under the rules in force~~ no
~~refund can be granted~~, as
~~such forbearance will~~ this would lead to
~~all sorts of~~ needless complications.

~~3~~ 2. The Dy Comrs concerned
are requested ~~to~~ to ~~the~~ publish the exemption by way of
~~in the Gazette~~, an addendum
to ~~end of~~ the Notfn for the levy of
tolls at the Gedetone Bridge +
Maramballi ghat. ~~exempting Govt servants travelling~~
~~on duty from payment of tolls~~

23 M 88

for Secy.

To the Dy Comrs Mysore
+ Hassan Dists.

Section L.B
File No 8 of 98
Serial No 26

Read

Letter No 296 dy 16th Novr. 1899 from the President, District Fund Board, Hassan, stating that the monthly contingent allowance of Rs/ sanctioned in Govt Pro: No 11556-7 / L757-98 dy 14th April 1899 ~~to connection with~~ in connection with the collection of tolls on the Hole Narsipur bridge, is inadequate ~~for the purpose~~ and requesting sanction to the same being increased ~~to~~ by ~~Rs~~ eight annas

R L787
6260-61 dy 30/11/99
L757-98

order thereon

Sanctioned

2. The excess charge will as proposed, be met from

the sum of Rs 150 provided
under "Contingencies, Tax rev.
& other items" in the current
year D F Budget of Hassan.

C.O. 11-39

24/11/39

Secretary
24/11/39

To

The President, D F B^e, Hassan

" Comptroller

29

(36) L 2181

14044
1-6-01.
1900-1901.

Section L.B.
File No 8 of 98
Serial No 29

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Dated 28 General & Rev. Depts
Despatched 30 } May 1901.
Received

General No. 713
No.

Enclosure No. one

To the Secretary to Govt No 4240-57 dated 11th April 1899
L 2033
L 1139
Genl & Rev: 11536-57 dated 14th April 1899
L2.57-98

Requesting sanction to the departmental collection of Tolls on the Hole Narsipur Bridge for another period of two years under the circumstances explained.

L 30/5
1/6/01

Drafted HCK
25/6/01

No. 715.

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Hazara District.

Dated Hazara, 28th May 1901

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hazara District.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Bengal,
General and Rev: Department.

Sir,

I have to forward herewith a statement shewing the collection commencing from the 15th May 1899, till the closing March 1901 and to state that the collection during 1899–1900 was far in excess of the establishment charges and during the current year it would have

In reference to Government order No: 1156-57 L.F. 57-98 dated 14th April 1899, sanctioning for a period of two years an establishment at a cost of Rs 25 per mensem for the purpose of managing departmentally the collection of tolls on carriages & &c. passing on the Hasanabdal bridge, I have

still more so far as Rs 2000 and upwards but for the plague scare in and about the District.-

2 ——— With the absence of plague or any other epidemics I am confident the income would be fairly in excess of the expenditure, I therefore request that the departmental collection of tolls may be sanctioned for another period of two years at the close of which I will be able to report to Government as to the advisability of continuing the same system or of leading it out.-

I have the honor Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.
Kaira District

WAV

Comparative statement showing the Realization by levy of tolls at the Hale-Narsipur bridge

Name of toll-gate	1898 – 1899			1899 – 1900			1900 – 1901 upto end of April 1901		
	Realization by levy of tolls	Establishment charges	Savings	Realization by levy of tolls	Establishment charges	Savings	Realization by levy of tolls	Establishment charges	Savings
Hale-Narsipur-Hemavati bridge.	385 10 9	37 8 .	348 2 9	1163 8 9	300 . .	863 8 9	761 3 6	250 . .	511 3 6

Dated, Hassan
28th May 1901

D Devaraj

President
Local Fund Boards
Hassan

BDn

Section L.B.
File No 8 of 98
10
Serial No 31

(Sl 4)

Read
Letter No 115/S of the
28th May 1901 from the
President of the District
Board, Kapas
Local Fund Circle,
requesting that the
arrangements Sanctioned
in Government Order
No 1556-7/L.F.57-98 of the 14th
April 1899 for the
collection of tolls on
the Hole-Narasipur bridge
departmentally may
be Sanctioned for
another period of two
years as the collection
from the 15th May 1899
to the end of March 1901
were far in excess
of the establishment
charges, and stating
that at the end of the
period of extension now

now applied for, he would be able to report as to the advisibility of continuing the present system, or of leasing out the collections.

Order thereon. No 440-41 & 11/7/01.
L.F.57-98

Sanctioned.

Secretary
26/6/01

To -
1/7/1901
The President of the
L.J. Bd. Hassan L.J. Circle.
The Comptroller,
Government of Mysore.

464.
25/6/01